
## 2009 Annual Report

# Near North Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2010



Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Tel: +1 312 879 2000 Fax: +1 312 879 4000 www.ey.com

Ms. Christine Raguso Acting Commissioner Department of Community Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 30 June 2010

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Near North Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Community Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Community Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Community Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – NEAR NORTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

	PAGE
LETTER TO THE STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2) AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	13
9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	14
10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	15
11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	16



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Community Development

Chris Raguso Acting Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) (312) 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2010

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Christine Raguso Acting Commissioner





### (1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on July 30, 1997. The Project Area may be terminated no later than July 30, 2020.

### (2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

FINANCIAL REPORT

**DECEMBER 31, 2009** 

### CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	3-5 6 7 8-13
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	14

### BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
O'HARE PLAZA
8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631
AREA CODE 312 263,2700

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Near North Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2009, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2009, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 14, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Planaly and Riener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 21, 2010

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Near North Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2009. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

### Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets — the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities — is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

### Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

### Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

### Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$16,322,281 for the year. This was an increase of 12 percent from the prior year. The change in net assets (including operating transfers out) produced an increase in net assets of \$9,079,410. The Project's net assets increased by 104 percent from the prior year making available \$17,821,441 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area.

### Debt Administration

Tax Increment Allocation Bonds outstanding at December 31, 2009 amounted to \$47,900,000. More detailed information about the Project's long-term liabilities is presented in Note 2 of the financial statements.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

### Government-Wide

	2009	2008	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$ 66,193,313	\$ 59,027,131	\$ 7,166,182	12%
Total liabilities	48,371,872	50,285,100	(1,913,228)	-4%
Total net assets	\$ 17,821,441	\$ 8,742,031	\$ 9,079,410	104%
Total revenues	\$ 16,450,853	\$ 14,955,124	\$ 1,495,729	10%
Total expenses	4,918,087	4,772,060	146,027	3%
Operating transfers out	2,453,356	_	2,453,356	100%
Changes in net assets	9,079,410	10,183,064	(1,103,654)	-11%
Ending net assets	\$ 17,821,441	\$ 8,742,031	\$ 9,079,410	104%

# STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2009

<u>ASSETS</u>		ernmental Funds	Adjusti	ments		ement of Assets
Cash and investments	<del></del>	778,877	\$		\$ 49,7	778,877
Property taxes receivable	16	3,381,000		-	16,3	381,000
Accrued interest receivable		33,436		-		33,436
Total assets	\$66	5,193,313	\$	-	\$ 66,1	193,313
<u>LIABILITIES</u>				,		
Vouchers payable	\$	9,623	\$	-	\$	9,623
Due to other City funds		245,187		-	2	245,187
Accrued interest payable		217,062		-	2	217,062
Deferred revenue	13	3,971,224	(13,97	71,224)		-
Bonds payable (Note 2): Due within one year Due after one year	3	3,000,000	44,90	- 00,000	•	000,000
Total liabilities	17	,443,096	30,92	28,776	48,3	371,872
FUND BALANCE/NET AS	SETS					
Fund balance: Reserved for debt service Designated for future redevelopment	15	5,649,400	(15,64	49,400)		-
project costs	33	3,100,817	(33,10	00,817)		
Total fund balance	48	3,750,217	(48,7	50,217)		-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$66	5,193,313				
Net assets (deficiency): Restricted for economic development project Restricted for debt service Restricted for future redevelopment	;			15,349 20,624	29,6	15,349 620,624
project costs			(11,8	14,532)	(11,8	814,532)
Total net assets			\$ 17,82	21,441	\$ 17,8	821,441
Amounts reported for governmental activities in	the statement of net assets are	different be	ecause:			
Total fund balance - governmental funds					\$ 48,	750,217
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.						971,224
Long-term liabilities applicable to the Project' payable in the current period and according long-term liabilities are reported in the state	ly are not reported as fund liabi				(44,	900, <u>000)</u>
Total net assets - governmental activities					\$ 17,	821,441

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenues: Property tax Interest Miscellaneous revenue	\$15,881,045 36,908 91,664	\$ 441,236 - -	\$16,322,281 36,908 91,664
Total revenues	16,009,617	441,236	16,450,853
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects	1,812,415	-	1,812,415
Debt service: Principal retirement Interest	3,000,000 3,105,672	(3,000,000)	3,105,672
Total expenditures/expenses	7,918,087	(3,000,000)	4,918,087
Excess of revenues over expenditures	8,091,530	3,441,236	11,532,766
Other financing sources (uses): Operating transfers in (Note 3) Operating transfers out (Note 3)	46,644 (2,500,000)	<u>-</u>	46,644 (2,500,000)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(2,453,356)		(2,453,356)
Excess of revenues and other financing sources . over expenditures and other financing uses	5,638,174	(5,638,174)	-
Change in net assets		9,079,410	9,079,410
Fund balance/net assets: Beginning of year	43,112,043	(34,370,012)	8,742,031
End of year	\$48,750,217	\$(30,928,776)	\$17,821,441
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of	f activities are diffe	erent because:	
Net change in fund balance - governmental funds			\$ 5,638,174
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levies "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is		en	441,236
Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because resources have been used. For governmental activities, how payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net assets in an expense in the statement of activities.	current financial wever, the principa	al	3,000,000
Change in net assets - governmental activities			\$ 9,079,410

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### (a) Reporting Entity

In July 1997, the City of Chicago (City) established the Near North Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service and special revenue funds of the City.

### (b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis of accounting* for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds *current* financial resources measurement focus.

### (c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

### (d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

### Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

### Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental funds but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental funds as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

### (e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

### Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semi-annual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in the redevelopment district.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Note 2 - Bonds Payable

In August 1999, the City issued \$55,000,000 of Near North Redevelopment Project Tax Increment Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A and B (Taxable). The bonds have maturity dates ranging from January 1, 2003 to January 1, 2019. At the discretion of the City, the bonds may bear interest at a daily, weekly, flexible, adjustable long or fixed rate. The bonds were issued in the weekly rate. Remarketing and letter of credit fees are included in interest expense. Net proceeds of \$53,800,000 were used to finance certain project costs in the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (\$43,200,000) and to fund the debt service and related reserve accounts (\$10,600,000).

The City has entered into interest rate swap agreements to obtain a fixed interest rate of 5.084 percent for the Series A and 6.89 percent for the Series B (Taxable). The swaps were approved by the City Council and are effective from September 1, 1999 until January 1, 2019 for Series A and January 1, 2010 for Series B (Taxable) and the initial notional amount totals \$55,000,000.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 was as follows:

Beginning balance	\$49,800,000
Additions Reductions	(1,900,000)
Ending balance	\$47,900,000
Amounts due within one year	<u>\$ 3,000,000</u>

The aggregate maturities of the bonds are as follows:

Year Ending	Series	Series 1999A		
December 31,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2010	\$ -	\$ 2,282,716	\$3,000,000	\$ -
2011	2,900,000	2,135,280	-	-
2012	3,100,000	1,977,676	-	-
2013	3,300,000	1,809,904	-	-
2014	4,200,000	1,596,376	-	-
2015-2019	31,400,000	3,868,924		
Total	\$44,900,000	<u>\$13,670,876</u>	\$3,000,000	\$

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Note 2 – Bonds Payable (Continued)

Derivatives - Pay-Fixed, Receive-Variable Interest Rate Swaps

Objective of the swaps. In order to protect against the potential of rising interest rates, the City has entered into two separate pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swaps at a cost less than what the City would have paid to issue fixed-rate debt.

Terms, fair values and credit risk. The terms, including the fair values and credit ratings of the outstanding swaps as of December 31, 2009, are as follows. The notional amounts of the swaps match the principal amounts of the associated debt. The City's swap agreements contain scheduled reductions to outstanding notional amounts that are expected to approximately follow scheduled or anticipated reductions in the associated bonds payable. Under the swaps, the City pays the counterparty a fixed payment and receives a variable payment computed according to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and/or The Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index (SIFMA):

Associated Bond Issue	Notional Amounts	Effective Date	Fixed Rate Paid	Variable Rate Received	Fair Values	Swap Termi- nation Date	Counter- party Credit Rating
Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Near North TIF, Series 1999A)	\$ 44,900,000	9/1/1999	5.084	67% 1 Mo. LIBOR	\$ (7,045,000)	1/1/2019	A2/A
Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Near North TIF, Series 1999B)	3,000,000	9/1/1999	6.890	67% 1 Mo. LIBOR		1/1/2010	A1/AA-
Total	\$ 47,900,000				\$ (7,045,000)		

Fair Value. As of December 31, 2009, the swaps had a negative fair value of \$7,045,000. As per industry convention, the fair values of the City's outstanding swaps were estimated using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the swap, assuming that the forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipate future spot rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement on the swap. Because interest rates are below the Fixed Rate Paid, the City's swaps had negative values.

Credit Risk. The City is exposed to credit risk (counterparty risk) through the counterparties with which it enters into agreements. If minimum credit rating requirements are not maintained, the counterparty is required to post collateral to a third party. This protects the City by mitigating the credit risk, and therefore the ability to pay a termination payment, inherent in a swap. Collateral on all swaps is to be in the form of cash or Eligible Collateral held by a third-party custodian. Upon credit events, the swaps also allow transfers, credit support and termination if the counterparty is unable to meet the said credit requirements.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Note 2 – Bonds Payable (Concluded)

Basis Risk. Basis risk refers to the mismatch between the variable rate payments received on a swap contract and the interest payment actually owed on the bonds. The two significant components driving this risk are credit and SIFMA/LIBOR ratios. Credit may create basis risk because the City's bonds may trade differently than the swap index as a result of a credit change in the City. SIFMA/LIBOR ratios (or spreads) may create basis risk. With percentage of LIBOR swaps, if the City's bonds trade at a higher percentage of LIBOR over the index received on the swap, basis risk is created. This can occur due to many factors including, without limitation, changes in marginal tax rates, tax-exempt status of bonds, and supply and demand for variable rate bonds. The City is exposed to basis risk on all swaps except those that are based on Cost of Funds, which provide cash flows that mirror those of the underlying bonds. For all other swaps, if the rate paid on the bonds is higher than the rate received, the City is liable for the difference. The difference would need to be available on the debt service payment date and it would add additional underlying cost to the transaction.

Tax Risk. The swap exposes the City to tax risk or a permanent mismatch (shortfall) between the floating rate received on the swap and the variable rate paid on the underlying variable-rate bonds due to tax law changes such that the federal or state tax exemption of municipal debt is eliminated or its value reduced. There have been no tax law changes since the execution of the City's swap transactions.

Termination Risk. The risk that the swap could be terminated as a result of certain events including a ratings downgrade for the issuer or swap counterparty, covenant violation, bankruptcy, payment default or other defined events of default. Termination of a swap may result in a payment made by the issuer or to the issuer depending upon the market at the time of termination.

Swap payments and associated debt. Bonds maturing and interest payable January 1, 2010 have been excluded because funds for their payment have been provided for. As of December 31, 2009, debt service requirements of the City's outstanding variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same, for their term are as follows:

	Variable-R	ate l	Bonds	Interest Rate	
Year Ending	Principal	I	nterest	Swaps, Net	 Total
December 31,					
2010	\$ 2,900,000	\$	143,680	\$ 2,282,716	\$ 5,326,396
2011	3,100,000		134,400	2,135,280	5,369,680
2012	3,300,000		124,480	1,977,676	5,402,156
2013	4,200,000		113,920	1,809,904	6,123,824
2014	4,400,000		100,480	1,596,376	6,096,856
2015 - 2018	27,000,000		243,520	3,868,924	 31,112,444
	\$44,900,000	\$	860,480	\$13,670,876	\$ 59,431,356

### Note 3 – Operating Transfers In/Out

During 2009, the Project received \$46,644 from the Corporate Fund relating to the reimbursement of expenses. In addition, in accordance with State statues, the Project transferred \$2,500,000 to the contiguous North Branch (South) Redevelopment Project to fund industrial street improvements on Kingsbury Street.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

### Note 4 – Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2009 the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$797,000 for services and construction projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

### Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 348,060

Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land

1,446,271

Costs of the construction of public works or improvements

18,084

Costs of financing, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto

6,105,672

\$7,918,087

### (3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)
	) SS
COUNTY OF COOK	)

### CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones Director Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Near North Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2009, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
  - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2010.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2010

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Maurice S. Jones Director Cook County Dept. Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ron Huberman Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Near North

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

### Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges

Corporation Counsel

### SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- ( ) Note the following Exceptions:

### Note

Reference is made in the first paragraph of Page 2 of the Opinion of Counsel for 2009 Annual Report to the Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Housing. The functions of both of these departments have been consolidated into the Department of Community Development.

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

Please see attached.

### NEAR NORTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009	
	2009
Revenues	
Property tax	\$ 15,881,045
Sales tax	-
Interest	36,908
Miscellaneous revenue	91,664
Total revenues	16,009,617
Expenditures	
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)	348,060
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)	-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental	
site improvement costs. (q)(2)	1,446,271
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and	
of existing buildings. (q)(3)	10.004
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)	18,084
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5) Financing costs. (q)(6)	6,105,672
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)	0,103,072
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused	-
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)	_
Relocation costs. (q)(8)	- -
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)	_
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career	
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)	-
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses	
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)	_
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very	
low income households. (q)(11)(F)	-
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.	
(q)(11.5)	•
Total expenditures	7,918,087
Revenues over expenditures	8,091,530
Other financing sources (uses)	
Operating transfers in	46,644
Operating transfers out	(2,500,000)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(2.452.256)
	(2,453,356)
Revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses	5,638,174
Fund balance, beginning of year	43,112,043
Fund balance and of year	¢ 40.750.217
Fund balance, end of year	\$ 48,750,217
Fund balance	
Reserved for debt service	\$ 15,649,400
Reserved for encumbrances	<u>.</u>
Designated for future redevelopment project costs	33,100,817
Total fund balance	\$ 48,750,217

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.*					
Name	Service	Amount			
City Staff Costs <sup>1</sup>	Administration	\$253,857			
City Program Management Costs	Administration	\$12,972			
Thomas F. McFarland	Professional Service	\$16,421			
Byrnes, Houlihan & Walsh LLC	Professional Service	\$6,000			
Entran LLC	Professional Service	\$7,298			
Graef, Anhalt, Schloemer & Associates	Professional Service	\$9,631			
Teng & Associates	Professional Service	\$9,735			
Moody's Investors Service Inc.	Professional Service	\$14,000			
Kingsbury Larrabee LLC	Development	\$1,428,431			
Midland Industries Inc.	Property Acquisition	\$17,840			
H W Lochner Inc.	Public Improvement	\$18,084			
Cole Taylor Bank	Financing	\$6,105,672			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

<sup>\*</sup> This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

### (6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2009, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

### (7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- (B) A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2010; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/09, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.

### (7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

TABLE 7(A) PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED IN THE PRECEDING FISCAL YEAR

NAME OF PROJECT	
Manierre School	
Schiller School	

### (7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2009, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

### (7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

TABLE 7 (C)
AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

PARTIES TO	NATURE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ADDRESS	JOBS CREATED/
AGREEMENT	OF			RETAINED
WITH CITY	AGREEMENT			
Manierre School	Redevelopment	Construction of Institutional	1420 North	0/0
	Agreement	Property	Hudson Ave.	
Schiller School	Redevelopment	Construction of Institutional	640 West Scott	0/0
	Agreement	Property	Street	

### (7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$94,103,545 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

### (7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2009, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

### (7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

During 2009, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

# (7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \* TABLE 7(G)

AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT	UBLIC INVES	IMENI *				
Projects Undertaken in This	Private Inve	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Invest	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio Of I	Ratio Of Private/Public
Redevelopment Project Area					Inve	Investment
	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated to	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated	11/1/1999 to	Ratio Estimated
	End of	Complete the Project	End of	to Complete the	End of	as of Project
	Reporting FY		Reporting FY	Project	Reporting FY	Completion
Project 1: North Town Village	* * *	\$46,400,000	\$5,102,178	\$8,600,000	* * *	5.4:1
Project 2: River Village	**	\$3,051,024	\$1,395,311	\$1,362,656 (b)	* *	2.2:1
Townhomes & Lofts (Site H) (a)						
Project 3: River Village South	***	\$2,958,227	\$3,484,024	\$3,140,395	* * *	1:1.1
(Site I)			Marin Indian			
Project 4: Lakefront Supportive	* *	\$13,178,548	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	* * *	13.2:1
Housing						
Project 5: Parkside of Old Town –	* *	\$91,592,000	\$5,337,605	\$9,037,605	* *	10.1:1
CHA Transformation						
Project 6: Small Business	Program is	\$3,000,000	80	\$1,500,000	Program is	2.0:1
Improvement Fund (SBIF) **	Ongoing				Ongoing	***************************************
Project 7: Manierre School	* *	0\$	\$0	\$750,000	* * *	N/A
Project 8: Schiller School	* *	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	* *	N/A
Project 9: River Village - Site G -	* *	\$0	\$1,428,431	\$4,950,972	* * *	N/A
Pointe		Laboration of the state of the				

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \* TABLE 7(G) (cont'd)

\* Bach actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Sections 2 or 5 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Amount Estimated to Complete the Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily various conditions including interest that may be payable on developer notes set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY, is cumulative from the date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.

- Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved
- \*\*\* As of the End of the Reporting FY, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the FY in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.
- These projects straddle both the Near North Redevelopment Project Area and the Chicago/Kingsbury Redevelopment Project Area. **a**
- This is the aggregate amount, which will be funded from increment received both from the Near North Redevelopment Project Area and the Chicago/Kingsbury Redevelopment (b) This Project Area.

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

### (9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2009, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

### (10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

Please see attached.



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTABLISHED 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

The Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental funds balance sheet of Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2009, and the related statement of activities and governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2010.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Near North Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Kensley and Kiener, L. J. P.

June 21, 2010

### (11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Near North Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded on the north by West Evergreen Avenue, West North Avenue, and the CTA right-of-way; on the east by North Orleans, the CTA right-of-way, North Wells Street, and North Sedgwick; on the south by West Oak Street, West Locust Street, and West Chicago Avenue; and on the west by North Larrabee Street, North Kingsbury Street, the east seawall of the North Branch Canal of the Chicago River, and North Halsted Street. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

